

**IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE
FACULTY SENATE MEETING MINUTES
APRIL 19, 2011 – 3:30–5:00 P.M.
GREAT HALL, MEMORIAL UNION**

I. Call to Order – 3:30 p.m.

A. Seating of Substitute Senators

Present: Agarwal, S.; Amidon, K.; Anderson, D.; Anderson, P.; Arndt, G.; Baker, R.; Beattie, G.; Blevins, J.; Bruning, M.; Butler, A.; Byars, J.; Chaudhuri, S.; Clough, M.; Cooper, E.; Dahlstrom, M.; Daniels, T.; Dark, V.; Day, T.; Freeman, S.; Harding, C.; Hendrich, S.; Herrmann, P.; Hochstetler, A.; Hoff, S.; Hostetter, J.; Huffman, W.; Jackman, J.; Janvrin, D.; Katz, A.; Keren, N.; Koziel, J.; Kushkowsky, J.; Loy, D.; Loynachan, T.; Luecke, G.; Maitra, R.; Manu, A.; Martin, M.; Martin, R.; Matzavinos, T.; Mayfield, J.; McQueeney, R.; Miller, A.; Minion, C.; Napolitano, R.; Nelson, S.; Owen, M.; Palermo, G.; Pleasants, J.; Schalinske, K.; Selby, M.; Sherman, P.; Smiley-Oyen, A.; Stevenson, G.; Strohhahn, C.; Sturm, J.; Taylor, G.; Thompson, L.; Torrie, M.; Townsend, T.; Tuckness, A.; van der Valk, A.; Wallace, R.;

Absent: Beell, T.; Bracha, V.; Geske, J.; Jurenka, R.; Kuo, M.; Levis, J.; Prieto, L.; Sapp, T.; Stalder, K.; van Leeuwen, H.; Williams, C.; Windus, T.

Substitutes: J. Cox for Dell, B.; A.M. VanderZanden for Fei, S.; J. Hill for Hillier, A.; J. Cunnally for Muench, J.

Guests: Hoffman, E. (Executive Vice President and Provost); Holger, D. (Associate Provost); Bratsch-Prince, D. (Associate Provost); Rosacker, E. (University Relations); Porter, M. (Parliamentarian); Kane, K. (P&S Council); Chidister, M. (Landscape Architecture); Rico-Gutierrez, L. (Dean of College of Design).

I. Call to Order. President Owen called the meeting to order at 3:30 and seated the substitute senators.

II. Consent Agenda

A. Minutes of Faculty Senate April 5, 2011 - [S10/M/8]

B. Agenda for April 19, 2011 – [S10/A/9]

C. Calendar – [S10/C/9]

Motion and second to accept the Consent Agenda. Motion passed without dissent.

III. Announcements and Remarks

A. Faculty Senate President

President Owen reminded senators that the Board of Regents would be meeting in Ames next week and that there was an open forum scheduled for Wednesday to discuss the process of replacing President Geoffrey and to get input from the Ames Community.

B. Faculty Senate President-Elect

There were no comments.

C. Provost

Provost Hoffman announced that Milton Glick, a past provost, had passed away. She asked for a moment of silence.

On the budget front, the redistricting legislation has been passed so the legislature will now turn to work on the budget. Provost Hoffman has put out budget memo number 4, which is based on the governor's proposed budget.

IV. Old Business

A. Sustainability Minor Proposal [S10-18]

Senator Hendrich noted that the proposal required some additional faculty votes and that the process of collecting those votes is underway. She asked to postpone discussion until the next meeting. There were no objections.

B. Name Change: Sport and Recreation Minor [S10-20]

Senator Hendrich noted that this proposal simply changes the name of an existing minor. The current name is Sport and Culture.

There was no discussion. President Owen called for a vote. Motion passed.

C. Bachelor of Design Proposal [S10-19]

Senator Hendrich introduced Mark Chidister, Curriculum Chair in the College of Design, and Luis Rico-Gutierrez, Dean of the College of Design, to answer questions.

Senator Sturm asked if the intent of the proposal was to attract more students to campus. He expressed concern about the level of education of such students and whether there might be a conflict between the research mission of the college and the pressure to make money through attracting more students.

Chidister noted that the intent was not to attract new students. Rather, there are currently freshman students who come to campus interested in design but are not ready to declare a major. There is no program for them in the college. This degree would allow a broad based education that may or may not lead to a specialization. It also will allow community college students to come into the college with an AA degree and complete a Bachelor's degree in two years.

Senator Selby asked whether the college has the resources to offer the degree, noting that limitations in studio space is the reason for limiting enrollment in some majors.

Chidister noted that students in this degree would share studio space. The studios in architecture and landscape design are dedicated and, therefore, limited, but the studios for this degree can be shared.

Senator Amidon asked about the name of the degree and why the other bachelor's degrees cannot handle the students.

Chidister noted that other institutions offer a similar degree, but that they are generally in an architecture program. Students begin with the general background and then some move into architecture. The other programs in the college are specialized, rather than broad, as is this degree.

Senator Smiley-Oyen asked about the nature of the degree and the sort of jobs associated with such a degree.

Chidister responded that many students will be pursuing professional preparation for graduate work. Museum and communication design are examples.

Senator Jackman asked about the nature of a disagreement about the degree among faculty in the college.

Chidister noted that there was general nervousness about reorganization and the number of majors. The number of degrees is fewer now than when discussion first began.

Dean Rico-Gutierrez noted that there was support for this degree among the faculty. Once this degree is established, then the faculty in the college will decide on others.

Senator Katz noted that she teaches studio as an art form, which a variety of students take and enjoy. This degree will allow students to construct a degree that meets their needs.

There were no more questions. President Owen requested a vote. The proposal was passed without dissent.

D. Unacceptable performance of Duty – changes in FH 7.2.2.5.1 – [S10-8]

President Elect Freeman introduced the proposal, noting that it has been under discussion for a long time and that the many amendments have made the proposal better. It basically describes due process. If it is not passed, the faculty are unprotected.

Senator Anderson made a brief remark referring to the flow chart distributed at an earlier meeting that showed the relationship between this proposal and the PTR policy passed at the last meeting.

President Owen noted that there was an amendment on the floor when the proposal was last discussed. Senator Townsend, who proposed the amendment, asked to rescind it and Senator Sherman, who had seconded the amendment, agreed.

President Owen asked for comments. There were none, so he asked for a vote.

The motion carried.

E. FH Section 10.8.1 Academic Program Approval [S10-15] – Hendrich

Senator Hendrich explained the changes, which describe how to handle disagreements between faculties about a new program.

Senator VanDerZanden, chair of the Faculty Senate Curriculum Committee (FSCC), noted that it is not always clear when something comes to the committee that everything has been done correctly. The FSCC supports the proposal; when a proposal comes to them they will know that all pieces are in place.

Senator Townsend asked about the implication for programs like the BET being vetoed by related programs. Senator Hendrich noted that the related programs will no longer have a veto, but that the objections will be heard. Senator Townsend responded with his belief that it is unconscionable to allow whoever has enough power to push things through, leading to student poaching.

President Elect Freeman noted that the proposal strengthens the role of the FSCC and the Senate to hear and decide on the issues. He stated the belief that we will hold each other accountable. If we allow poaching to occur, then it is our fault.

Senator Daniels spoke in favor of the proposal, noting that the current system, allowing a veto, is unmanageable. It allows a related program to block what might be better for students. .

Senator Townsend commented that without the veto large colleges on campus could grab whatever programs they want.

Senator Mayfield noted that in his many years at ISU he has never seen a situation in which a program was approved when there are serious objections.

Senator Amidon noted that the changes are parallel to those passed last year regarding deletion of programs.

There was much more discussion, much centering around the process that had led to preventing the BET from going forward. Senator Townsend's view was that the objections to the BET would not have been heard without the veto. The question was raised about how passing this policy would affect the BET degree. President Elect Freeman noted that while Senate policies are not retroactive, the BET proposal should never have come forward to the Senate because it does not meet our current requirements. So, were the proposal to pass, it would apply to bringing the BET forward.

Senator Butler suggested that there are two choices, the current proposal and the current policy. Perhaps a third choice would be better. Perhaps there should be an arbitration that would be more deliberative than FS.

Senator VanDerZanden noted that FSCC is empowered now to take action and can ask people to sit down together. President Elect Freeman noted that the FSCC does not have

to forward everything that it receives. They can, in effect, veto something by not forwarding it.

President Owen noted that no new points were being made in discussion. He asked for a voice vote and ruled that the motion carried.

Senator Townsend requested a show of hands. The motion passed 39-23.

V. New Business

A. Post Baccalaureate Certificate in Studio Arts [S10-21]

Senator Hendrich brought the proposal forward from Academic Affairs. The certificate is a unique new academic program leading to an undergraduate certificate.

Senator Amidon asked if a bachelor's degree is required and Mark Chidister responded that it was.

Senator Selby noted that the name is confusing. She moved that the title be changed to Post Baccalaureate Undergraduate Certificate in Studio Arts. Senator Katz provided a second. There was a call for the question, which passed.

The motion to amend the title carried.

The proposal will be up for a vote on May 3.

B. FS By-Laws Changes [S10-22]

President Owen noted that the changes were the result of earlier action creating a new standing committee. The changes will be up for a vote on May 3.

VI. Good of the Order

Associate Provost Holger noted that Milton Glick was provost when the Faculty Senate came into existence. He was very supportive of the Senate and had been a FS president at his former university.

President Owen noted that he was appreciative of the seriousness and quality of the debate and action on recent policies. He is confident that the Senate is being heard at all levels of the administration.

IX. The meeting adjourned at 4:24.

NEXT MEETING is TUESDAY, May 3, 2011 -- 3:30-5:00 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,
Veronica Dark
Senate Secretary
April 29, 2011